

INDEX

- 2 Live Crew, 151–152.
2600: The Hacker Quarterly, 92–93, 96–97
A&M Records v. Napster, 239 F.3d 1004
(9th Cir. 2001). See *Napster* case.
Abelson, Hal, ix, 181.
Access to Knowledge (A2K) movement,
243–244, 293–294, 296n17; Adelphi
Charter, 244, 295n14; Geneva Declara-
tion, 244, 295n14; WIPO Develop-
ment Agenda, 244, 294, 295n14.
Acuff-Rose case (*Campbell v. Acuff-Rose
Music, Inc.*, 510 U.S. 569 (1994)),
151–152, 283n23.
Adelphi Charter on Creativity, Innova-
tion, and Intellectual Property. See Ac-
cess to Knowledge movement, Adelphi
Charter.
“Ain’t That Good News” (*song*), 133.
Allen, Robert C., 45, 264, 266n8.
“Alone Again (Naturally)” (*song*, Sullivan)
and “Alone Again” (*song*, Biz Markie),
146. See also *Grand Upright* case.
Amazon.com, 58, 81, 227.
America Online, 233.
American Association for the Advance-
ment of Science (AAAS), study on ef-
fects of intellectual property, 284.
American Geophysical Union v. Texaco, 27
F.3d 882 (2d Cir. 1994), 52, 269n38.
anticircumvention provisions of DMCA.
See DMCA.
anti-dilution. See trademark, anti-
dilution.
antimonopolistic attitudes toward intellec-
tual property. See intellectual property.
Anton, Jim, viii.
Aoki, Keith, 45, 266n10, 277.
Apple Computer, controversy with
RealNetworks, 110–114.
Arewa, Olufunmilayo, 278–279.

- Arora, Ashish, 161, 252, 284, 285n2.
- Arrow, Kenneth, 39, 49, 260n30, 263n58.
- Atari Games Corp. v. Nintendo of America, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 832 (Fed. Cir. 1992), 69, 273n22.
- authorship: and copyright, 30, 34, 208; and maximalism, 198; and natural rights, 34; romantic theory of, 34, 163.
- bacteria, genetically engineered, xvi, 171, 173, 174.
- Bailey Gospel Singers, 133, 142–143, 154, 280.
- Balio, Tina, 64, 272n18.
- Barlow, John Perry, 19, 256n6; support for Creative Commons, 183.
- Bayh-Dole Act, Pub. L. 96-517 (Patent and Trademark Amendments of 1989), 283, 284.
- Behlendorf, Brian, 186, 288n4.
- Benjamin, Stuart, viii.
- Benjamin, Walter, 150, 283n22.
- Benkler, Yochai, viii, xv, 27, 37, 45, 49, 67, 189–190, 192–194, 233, 251n12, 260n37, 263n54, 266n10, 268n30, 273n21, 275, 286, 287, 289nn12–13, 289n17, 290, 290n24; enclosure movement analogy, 45, 266n10; on openness, 192, 233; on peer-to-peer cultural production, 194; on Alice Randall, 27.
- Berners-Lee, Tim, 232.
- Bessen, James, 6, 252n3, 285, 291.
- “biobricks,” standard biological parts, 245; creation of BioBricks Foundation, 177, 193, 237.
- bioinformatics, 51, 193.
- biology, synthetic. *See* synthetic biology.
- biotechnology, 161, 171, 172, 174, 176, 177, 191; and conjunction of patent law, 176; and networked computer as defining technology, 161; *Diamond v. Chakrabarty* biotechnology patent case, *see* *Diamond v. Chakrabarty*.
- Bitton, Miriam, xii, 250n5.
- Biz Markie, 146–147, 155. *See also* *Grand Upright* case.
- The Black Lantern, 143–145, 152–153, 156, 280.
- Blogcritics Magazine, 135, 282n15.
- Boies, David, 72, 74.
- Bollier, David, 45, 266n10, 287.
- Bound By Law?* (Boyle, Aoki, and Jenkins), 277.
- Boyer, Horace Clarence, 279.
- Boynton, Robert S., 27, 260n36.
- Brandeis, Louis, Justice, 49–50, 184, 251n12, 268n29.
- Brewer, E. Cobham, 187, 288n9.
- Bridgeport Music* case (*Bridgeport Music, Inc. v. Dimension Films*, 383 F.3d 390 (6th Cir. 2004)), 149–152, 154, 155, 156, 158, 159, 283n21; and bright-line rule, 149; and change in rules of musical sampling, 149–151; and constitutional limitations on copyright, 150–151; and *de minimis* copying, 141, 149; and fair use, 150; and *Grand Upright*, 149; and infringement of sound recordings, 141; and The Legendary K.O., 151–152; defining potentially actionable copying, 141, 149; musical composition and sound recording copyrights distinguished, 149.
- Bridges, Cora Beth, 180.
- bright-line rules: defined, 149; and *Reimerdes*, 98; and *Bridgeport Music*, 149, 151.
- Broonzy, Big Bill, 130, 136.
- Broussard, Whitney, ix.
- Brown, Bruce, 186–187, 288n6.
- Brown, Glenn, ix.
- Brown, James, 127, 130, 150.
- Burk, Dan, 274.
- Burkholder, J. Peter, 277, 278.
- business method patents. *See* patent, over business methods.
- “by means of a biological circuit,” potential effect of concept on patent law, 176.

- “by means of a computer,” effect of concept on patent law, 169, 176.
- Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc.*, 510
U.S. 569 (1994). See *Acuff-Rose* case.
- Caritat, Nicholas de, marquis de Condorcet. See Condorcet.
- Carroll, Michael W., viii, ix, 278, 287.
- Caulfield, Timothy, 283.
- ccLearn. See Creative Commons.
- CERN, 232.
- U.S. Chamber of Commerce and database rights, 208.
- Chamberlain Group, Inc. v. Skylink Tech., Inc.*, 381 F.3d 1178 (Fed. Cir. 2004). See *Skylink* case.
- Charles, Ray, 122–159 passim; and attitude toward borrowing, 130–131, 281n10; and borrowing from prior gospel forms, 127–128, 130–131; and fusion of sacred and profane music, 136; and imitation of Nat King Cole, 126–127, 134, 155; and origins of “I Got a Woman,” 126–138; and origins of “This Little Girl of Mine,” 134–135; and stylistic evolution of soul, 130–132.
- Clark, D. D., 81, 274n31.
- Clickworkers. See NASA’s “Clickworkers.”
- Clinton administration and intellectual property policy, 59, 234, 272n11.
- Clinton, George, 149, 150.
- Cohen, Felix, 6, 253n4.
- Cohen, Julie E., viii, 194, 274–275, 289n21.
- Cohen, Wesley, viii, 161, 251–252, 284, 285n2, 291.
- Cohn, Cindy, x.
- Cole, Nat King. See Ray Charles, and imitation of.
- Collections of Information Antipiracy Act, S 2291 (1998), 49, 61, 269n32, 272n16.
- Columbia Law Library Music Plagiarism Project. See Copyright Infringement Project.
- comedy of the commons. See commons, comedy of the.
- U.S. Commerce Department, xiii, 81, 250n8.
- Committee on Intellectual Property Rights in Genomic and Protein Research and Innovation, National Research Council, 284.
- commodification, work of Margaret Jane Radin on, 46, 267n17.
- commons: and creativity, 166–167, 183; and distributed creativity, 184, 186–190, 194, 197–201, 203–204, 247–248, 286; comedy of the, 192, 264; creation of privately constructed realms of freedom, 178, 203; intangible, 45–50; of biological parts, see synthetic biology; of the mind, 45, 47–48, 185, 248; patent pools as exclusionary version of, 203; privately constructed commons as basis for innovation, 182–184, 203–204; tragedy of the, xiv, 44, 47–49, 187, 192, 193.
- commons-based creativity, 166–167, 183.
- commons-based production, not confined to world of software, 193–194.
- Communications Decency Act of 1996, 47 U.S.C. §§ 230, 560, 561 (1996), 57–59, 272n7.
- competition, imitation as part of, 112–114.
- competition policy, 52, 114, 120–121, 222.
- CompuServe, 233, 234.
- computational biology, 193.
- computer, Turing machine as conceptual model of, 161–162.
- Condorcet, Nicolas de Caritat, marquis de, 32–36, 258, 261nn44–46.
- U.S. Constitution, article I, section 8, clause 8 (Copyright and Patent Clause), 24, 26, 170, 208, 254, 256–258n19, 259n21–22, 260n31; and DMCA anticircumvention provisions, 95–110, 275; and framers’ utilitarian

- U.S. Constitution (*continued*)
 and antimonopolist views of intellectual property, 20–28, 256–258n19; constitutional challenge to copyright term extension, 25–26, 110, 247–248; fair use required by, 67, 94–96, 104–110; First Amendment, *see* First Amendment; limited terms required by, 68, 104, 229; originality and idea / expression, fact / expression distinctions required by, 94, 150–151, 208; “promote the progress” purpose for intellectual property established in, 26, 170, 208, 229, 254.
- The Consumer Access Bill, HR 1858 (1999), 194, 289n20.
- Content Scramble System (CSS), 89. *See also Reimerdes case.*
- contributory copyright infringement, 70–71, 73, 74; and vicarious copyright infringement, 77–80.
- convergent creativity, 166.
- Cook-Deegan, Robert, viii, 283.
- copying costs, argument that strength of intellectual property rights should vary inversely with, 60–62.
- copyright: actions by courts to avoid dangers of copyrighting software, 165–166; and assumptions about industry structure changed by Internet, 198; and balance between protection and public domain in music, 153–154; and changing degrees of formality in licensing practices, 136–137; and creativity, 15, 122–123, 136, 137–138, 141, 147, 153, 155, 156, 158–159, 164; and expressive control, 136; and First Amendment, 94–95, 96; and free speech (free expression), 29–30, 40–41, 67, 88, 94–95, 99–101, 103–104, 110–111, 117, 124, 194, 243, 267–268n21, 275, 291; and judicial response to copyrighting of software, 165–166; and limitation to keep musical genres free, 135–136; and musical tradition, 129–130; and romantic theory of authorship, 34, 163; and synthetic biology, 176–178; and unoriginal compilations of fact, 207–208; *de minimis* copying and *Grand Upright* case, 147–148; *de minimis* copying narrowed in music sampling by *Bridgeport Music* case, 149–150; dialectic between maximalist and minimalist notions of, 270; different assumptions about creativity than patent, 122–124; economic analysis of effects of copyright term extension, 225–227; economics of, 4–5, 165, 195; effect on musical creativity, 155–156; effects on competition in software, 114–117; fact / expression dichotomy, 208; idea / expression dichotomy, 208; idealized justification for, 4; liability for noncommercial activity, 53; merger doctrine and musical composition, 141; musical composition and sound recording copyrights distinguished, 141; originality requirement in, 207–208; over original expression, 6, 7, 14, 33–34, 49, 94, 113–114, 120, 134, 142, 152, 163, 177, 208, 241, 269; over software used to help create free and open source software, 166–168; posthumous term, 25; promise of, 5; regulating reproduction and distribution, 3, 30, 53, 71, 107, 167; *scènes à faire* and musical composition, 141; sound recording copyright and *Bridgeport Music* case, 149–150; substantial similarity, 141–142, 147; tensions with free speech, 275; treatment of music, 122–124.
- U.S. Copyright Act: and contributory infringement, 80; and fair use (§ 107), 66–67, 87; and *Grand Upright* case, 147; and orphan works, 9, 253n8; and public domain status of content generated by the Federal Government (§ 105), 213–214; and software, 164,

- 186, 288n3; and *Sony* case, 71; anticircumvention provisions (§ 1201), 86, 104, 194, 277n13. *See also* DMCA.
- Copyright Infringement Project, sponsored by the UCLA Intellectual Property Project and Columbia Law School, 279.
- U.S. Copyright Office, 136, 142, 164, 176, 253n8, 277n13.
- copyright term: 28 + 28 system, 131; and argument for perpetual copyright, 25–26, 261n50; and failure in decision-making process, 241; and twentieth-century culture, 9; cultural effects of, 9–16; economics of extending, 26; effect on musical borrowing, 128–132; extension and economic analysis of effects of, 225–227; in Europe and the United States, 25; proposed extension for sound recording in U.K., 222–229.
- Corley* case (*Universal City Studios, Inc. v. Corley*, 273 F.3d 429 (2d Cir. 2001)), 92–97, 116–117, 276n5, 277n17. *See also* *Reimerdes* case.
- Corley, Eric, 92, 93, 99, 116–117. *See also* *Corley* case.
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC), 49, 52, 69, 116–117, 120, 168–171, 250n1, 269n31, 269n43, 273n22, 277n17, 284, 285n6; and attitude toward instrumental goals of patent law, 161, 169; and business method patents, 168–171; and definition of “nonobvious,” 170–171; and *Skylink* case, 116–117, 120, 277n17.
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, role in *Sony* and *Napster* cases, 72–73.
- Cranston, Alan, 95, 276n7.
- Creative Commons, 179–184, 287–288; and creation of global commons of materials, 182–183; ccLearn, ix; Commons Deed, 181; criticisms of, 287–288; license, x, 179, 181, 183, 184, 195, 201, 245, 287; license distinguished from DRM, 181; license features, 180–183; range of materials, 179–180; Science Commons, ix, 291.
- creativity, copyright’s assumptions different than patent’s, 122–124.
- “cultural agoraphobia,” 231–235.
- cultural creativity, effects of intellectual property on. *See* intellectual property, effects on cultural creativity.
- cultural environmentalism, 240–248; defined, 241; and Cultural Environmentalism @ 10 symposium, x, 249n4, 293; and mixture of theory and practice, 242; and organizational diversity, 242–247; and politics of intellectual property, 239–247; and social construction of self-interest, 241–242.
- Dallas Cowboys Cheerleaders, Inc. v. Pussycat Cinema, Ltd.*, 604 F.2d 200 (2d Cir. 1979), xiii, 250n7.
- Dame, Lauren, viii.
- Database Investment and Intellectual Property Antipiracy Act of 1996 (HR 3531), 49, 194, 269n32, 289n20.
- database protection, European Union directive on, 46, 50, 194, 207–213, 216–219, 238, 267n18, 289n20, 292n6.
- database rights, 290–291; empirical assessment of effects in Europe and U.S., 209–219; European Commission report on failure of, 217–219; legal database industry in U.S., 210–211, 213–217; natural experiment provided by different nations’ treatment of, 207–219; pressure to “harmonize” U.S. rights with Europe, 209.
- Davis, Randall, 161, 194, 285n1, 289n21.
- de minimis* copying. *See* copyright, *de minimis* copying.
- Debbie Does Dallas*, xiii.
- Debian. *See* free and open source software.

- DeCSS, and DMCA. *See* DMCA.
- Decurtis, Anthony, 130, 281n9.
- Deffaa, Chip, 133, 281n11.
- Demers, Joanna, 279.
- Depoorter, Ben, 67, 273n19.
- derivative work, 123, 158, 165, 181–182.
- Development Agenda of the World Intellectual Property Organization. *See* Access to Knowledge movement, WIPO Development Agenda.
- Diamond v. Chakrabarty*, 447 U.S. 303 (1980), 171–172, 285n8.
- Diderot, Denis, 31–36.
- Digital Future Coalition, 59, 272n11.
- Digital Millennium Copyright Act. *See* DMCA.
- Digital Rights Management (DRM), 79, 86, 87–88, 89–92, 119–120, 245, 274–275, 296n20; control over consumer behavior, 89–90.
- Dinwoodie, Graeme, 274.
- distributed creativity, 178, 184–185, 188, 194, 247, 248, 286; and the sharing economy, 184–185; on basis of shared commons, 184–185. *See also* free and open source software.
- DMCA (Digital Millennium Copyright Act, Pub. L. No. 105-304, 112 Stat. 2860 (1998)), xii, 55, 61, 85–121, 194, 245, 274–275; and chilling effect on cryptography and security research, 119, 269n44; and DeCSS, 89–110; and intent, 99–100; and potential effect on types of fair use, 88, 95–96; and reverse engineering, 90–91; anticircumvention provisions, 85–89; “code is speech,” 96–104; competition issues in, 110–117; courts’ willingness to curtail in name of competition, not free expression, 116–117; exaggeration of DMCA’s negative effects 118–121; First Amendment issues in, 95–110; First Amendment restraints on granting legal power to restrict fair use, 104–110; overall effect, 118–121.
- Doctorow, Cory, x.
- Dowell, Jonathan, 67, 273n19.
- DRM. *See* Digital Rights Management.
- droits d’auteur*, 28–36; and French Revolution, 30–34; and moral rights, 28–30.
- Dufft, Nicole, 292.
- Duffy, Kevin Thomas, Judge, 147–149, 151, 155, 156. *See also* *Grand Upright* case.
- DVD Copy Control Association, 89–91, 93, 276n3. *See also* *Reimerdes* case.
- eBay, Inc. v. Bidder’s Edge, Inc.*, 100 F. Supp. 2d 1058 (N.D.Ca. 2000), 52, 269n40.
- economic analysis of intellectual property: 1–9; criticism of, on the basis of natural rights, 228–229; *ex ante* and *ex post*, 251; structure of the debate, 251–252.
- economic determinism. *See* intellectual property, policy not solely a result of economic determinism or industry capture.
- economics: of information, 39; of networks, 78; of open source software, 185, 187–188, 190. *See also* network effects; *see also* public goods.
- Edelman, Bernard, 261n43.
- Eisenberg, Rebecca S., viii, 45, 49–50, 161, 251–252, 266n13, 268n28, 269n34, 283, 285n1.
- Eldred* case (*Eldred v. Ashcroft*, 537 U.S. 186 (2003)), 25–26, 96, 110, 243, 247–248, 260n27–28, 260n30, 276n8, 277n14, 295n10. *See also* Sonny Bono Copyright Term Extension Act.
- Eldred, Eric, 181.
- Electronic Frontier Foundation (EFF), 79, 88, 243, 269–270n44, 275n2.
- Elkin-Koren, Niva, 287–288.
- Ellickson, Robert C., 45, 266n9.
- empirical evidence: absence from policy making process, 15, 49, 59–60, 65, 88,

- 169–170, 199–200, 205–207, 209–213, 217–220, 222–223, 227–229, 236, 238–239, 291; economic effects of database rights, 209–220; economic effects of legal treatment of publicly generated data, 220–221; evidence-based policy, 291; example of the Gowers Review, 222–229; rarity in intellectual property realm, 227–228. *See also* file sharing, empirical study of effects on movie purchases; *see also* file sharing, empirical study of effects on music purchases.
- enclosure: defined, 43; and public goods, 47–48; effects on raw material for innovation, 48–49; of intangible commons, 45–50. *See also* enclosure movement; *see also* second enclosure movement.
- enclosure movement: distributional and efficiency consequences, 266n8; enclosure of intangible property distinguished from enclosure of land, 45–50; in land, 42–45, 46–49, 263–264, 265n2, 266n8, 266n10, 267n21; of the mind, xii; poem decrying, 42; second, *see* second enclosure movement.
- “end-to-end network,” architecture of open Internet, 81.
- Endy, Drew, ix.
- environmentalism: analogy to politics of intellectual property, 239–241, 293–294; and ecology, 239–240; and externality, 239–240; and popularization of analytic framework, 240; critique of analogy to intellectual property, 245–247.
- Epstein, Richard, 188–190, 289n10.
- Ernlé, Lord, 44–45, 265n5, 265n7.
- European Commission, Report on database rights, 209–210, 217–220, 292n6. *See also* database rights.
- European Court of Justice, database protection rulings, 212, 290.
- European Union Database Protection Directive. *See* database rights.
- European Union Public Sector Information Directive. *See* publicly generated information.
- Evans, Oliver, 19–20, 202.
- evidence. *See* empirical evidence.
- externalities, Pigou’s analysis of, and environmental movement, 239, 295n4.
- fact / expression dichotomy. *See* copyright, fact / expression dichotomy.
- fair use, xii, 65–71; and decompilation, 69, 166; and musical composition, 147–150, 155; and parody, 50, 67–68, 109, 123, 141, 142, 151–153, 159; and transformative use, 67, 273n20; as required by First Amendment, 67–68, 273n21, 276n8; definition of noncommercial use, 75–76; described as subsidy to those who benefit from it, 55; described as tax on rights holders, 55; DMCA granting legal power to restrict, 104–110; effects of private decisions on legal freedom, 132; impossibility of providing a single theory of, 67–69; noncommercial use and market harm, 73–74; parody as example of in music and video, 151–153; space-shifting as, 73, 74; statutory definition of, 66–67; time-shifting as, 64, 65, 70–71, 74; transaction costs, explanation of, 67, 273n19.
- Fanning, Sean, 58. *See also* *Napster* case.
- Farmers’ Tale, allegory of digital regulation, 83–85.
- Feather, John, 8, 253n5.
- Feist* case (*Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co.*, 499 U.S. 340 (1991)), 24, 49, 207–210, 216–217, 258n19, 269n33, 292n1.
- file sharing, 71–72, 75–76; empirical study of effects on movie purchases, 276n12; empirical study of effects on

- file sharing (*continued*)
 music purchases, 73–74, 273nn26–29.
 See also *Grokster* case; see also *Napster* case; see also peer-to-peer file sharing.
- First Amendment: and copyright term extension, 110, 247–248; “code is speech” challenge to DMCA anticircumvention provisions, 96–104, see also DMCA, “code is speech”; constitutional challenge that DMCA grants new right without limitations required by First Amendment, 95–96, 104–110; copyright limitations such as fair use and idea / expression distinction required by, 67, 94–96, 104–110
- Fisher, William W., III, viii, 36, 194, 262–263n51, 271, 290n25.
- Fisk, Catherine, viii, 254.
- Flaubert, Gustave, 2–3, 60.
- Ford Foundation, 244, 296n15.
- Foxx, Jamie, 138–139, 140, 142, 144, 145, 152.
- Franklin, Benjamin, 35, 38, 143–144, 146, 202, 263n57.
- free and open source software, 185–192, 286–287; adoption of, 186–187; and incentives to create, 187–192; and transformation of assumptions about creativity, 185–192; applicability of production model beyond software, 192–194; as case study of distributed creativity, 185–192; as corrective to simple notions of tragedy of the commons, 192; Debian, 190; economic explanations of, 187–194; General Public License, 186; GNU-Linux, 190; Eben Moglen’s analysis of, 186, 189, 287, 288n2, 289n11; Ubuntu, 190.
- free expression, and copyright. See copyright, and free speech.
- Galbreath, C. B., 279.
- Gates, Bill, argument for intellectual property rights over software. See intellectual property, Bill Gates’s argument for rights over software.
- Gelman, Jason, 210–211.
- General Public License (GPL). See free and open source software, General Public License.
- Geneva Declaration on the Future of the World Intellectual Property Organization. See Access to Knowledge movement, Geneva Declaration.
- “George Bush Doesn’t Care About Black People” (*song*, The Legendary K.O.), 125–126, 143–145, 146, 152, 156, 234, 281–282; and sampling, 146, 152–153; lyrics, 126, 143; reaction to song, 143–145; video versions of, 143–145, 152–153, 156, 157–158.
- George-Warren, Holly, 130, 281n9.
- “Get Off Your Ass and Jam” (*song*, Clinton), 149, 150.
- Ghosh, Rishab Aiyer, 286.
- Gil, Gilberto, x.
- Gillespie, Tarleton, 274.
- Ginsparg, Paul, ix.
- GNU-Linux. See free and open source software.
- “Gold Digger” (*song*, West), 125–126, 138–143, 145–146, 152, 280.
- GoldenRice™, 49, 246, 268n27, 296n21.
- Goldstein, Emmanuel. See Corley, Eric.
- van Gompel, Stef, 292.
- Gone With the Wind*, xii, xiii, 27–28, 95, 260n35.
- Google, 13, 58, 187; Google Books Library Project, 12–13, 14, 237; search page, 179–180, 181, 187.
- Gordon, Wendy J., viii, 36, 67, 262n51, 273n19.
- Gowers Review of Intellectual Property, 222–223, 225–229, 291, 292, 293n10.
- Graham v. John Deere*, 383 U.S. 1 (1966), 24, 256n18.
- Grand Upright case (Grand Upright Music, Ltd. v. Warner Bros. Records, Inc.*,

- 780 F. Supp. 182 (S.D.N.Y. 1991)), 146–148, 282nn18–19, 283n20; and *Bridgeport* case, 149–150; and “George Bush Doesn’t Care About Black People,” 152.
- Green Paper. *See* Intellectual Property and the National Information Infrastructure.
- Greene, K. J., 279.
- Grokster* case (*MGM Studios Inc. v. Grokster, Ltd.*, 545 U.S. 913 (2005)), 76–82; and effect on technological innovation, 78–80; and inducement liability, 77.
- Grossman, Sanford J., 40, 48, 263n59, 268n25.
- Grout, Donald Jay, 277, 278.
- Gulati, Mitu, viii.
- Guthrie, Woody, 152–153.
- Haas, Alexander K., 45, 266n11.
- hacker: different definitions of, 93–94; hackers and *Reimerdes* case, 92–94, 231.
- Hamilton, Alexander, and the role of intellectual property, 35.
- Hardin, Garrett, xiv, 47, 251n11, 264, 267n20. *See also* commons, tragedy of the.
- Hardy, I. Trotter, 47, 267n21.
- Harper & Row Publishers, Inc. v. Nation Enterprises*, 471 U.S. 539 (1985), 276n8, 277n13.
- Haseltine, William A., 45, 266nn11–12.
- Heald, Paul J., 251.
- Helberger, Natali, 292.
- Heller, Michael A., 49, 161, 251–252, 268n28, 283, 285n1.
- Helprin, Mark. *See* copyright term, and argument for perpetual copyright.
- Henke, James, 130, 281n9.
- Hess, Charlotte, ix.
- Hesse, Carla, viii, 31–35, 254, 261nn41–42, 261n48.
- Hins, Wouter, 292.
- Hirschhorn, Joel, 127, 281n6.
- Hirshleifer, Jack, 3, 252n2.
- History of Sampling (*website*, Kriss), 279.
- Houghton Mifflin Co. v. Noram Publishing Co.*, 28 F. Supp. 676 (S.D.N.Y. 1939), 276n7.
- Houghton Mifflin Co. v. Stackpole Sons, Inc.*, 104 F.2d 306 (2d Cir. 1939), 276n7.
- Howkins, John, x.
- Hubbard, Tim, 243–244, 295n13.
- Hugenholtz, P. Bernt, 211–212, 275, 287, 290, 291, 292, 292n2.
- Hughes, Justin, viii, 36, 262n51, 271.
- Hugo, Victor, 31–32, 261n43.
- Hunter, Dan, 286.
- Hurricane Katrina, 124–126, 143–145, 157.
- Hyperlaw* case (*Matthew Bender & Co. v. West Publishing Co.*, 158 F.3d 674 (2d Cir. 1998)), 214–216, 292n4.
- “I Got a Woman” (*song*, Charles): as basis of Kanye West’s “Gold Digger” 138–142; Ray Charles’s borrowing in, 126–138; musical origins of, 127–128, 133–135.
- idea / expression dichotomy. *See* copyright, idea / expression dichotomy.
- iGEM. *See* International Genetically Engineered Machine competition.
- intangible property, 3–7, 8–9, 49, 232, 264.
- “Intellectual Property and the National Information Infrastructure” (U.S. Patent and Trademark Office): Green Paper, 55; White Paper, 55–56, 59, 271nn3–4, 272n11.
- intellectual property, xii–xv, 4–9, 16; and blindness to losses from failed sharing, 202–204; and failure to make policy based on evidence, 15, 49, 59–60, 65, 88, 169–170, 199–200, 205–207, 209–213, 217–220, 222–223, 227–229, 236, 238–239, 291; and ideology of

- intellectual property (*continued*)
- maximalism, 198–200, 206–207; and the media, xiii, 57–59; and sharing economy, implications for policy, 195–198; anticommons effect in, 283–284; antimonopolist conception of, 19, 24, 37–39, 254–255, 256n6, 258n20; barriers to sound policy, 236–239; baseline assumptions of Justice Brandeis, 49; cognitive bias against openness, 230–235; criticism of economic analysis of, on the basis of natural rights, 228–229; danger of one-sided solutions, 238–239; economic analysis of, 1–7, 251–252; effects on cultural creativity, 122–124; effects on disruptive technologies, 284–285; effects on science and technology, 160–161, 283–285; effects on synthetic biology, 174–176; Bill Gates’s argument for rights over software, 163–164, 166, 176, 285n4; Jefferson’s views on, 17–23; language of privilege misleading, 256n19; Lockean justification for, 29–30, 262n51; Macaulay’s views on, 23–27; Madison’s views on, 24, 257–258n19, 259nn21–22; minimalist view of, 37–41; natural rights conception of, 28–36, 256n19; objections to the phrase itself, 7–8, 38; policy making process, 56–57; policy not solely a result of economic determinism or industry capture, 199–200; politics of, xii–xv; politics of, environmental analogy, 239–241; press coverage of, 57–59, 248; presumption against creation of new rights, 207; prize systems and alternatives, 37, 263n56; Procrustean effect of intellectual property’s categories, 162; rationale for, 1–9; reasons for “market failure” in intellectual property policy, 64–65; retrospective extension of copyright, 110, 131, 203, 222–229, 247–248; retrospective extension of patent rights, Jefferson’s disapproval of, 19; rights granted far “upstream” in scientific process, 283; rights now triggered by individuals because of technological changes, 50–53; rights on Internet, 54–71; Adam Smith’s views, 24, 259n23; traditional limits of, 50, 68–69; treatment of computers and software, 162–171; uncertainty about expansion of reach of, 52–53.
 - International Genetically Engineered Machine (iGEM) competition, 173–174.
 - International News Service v. Associated Press*, 248 U.S. 215 (1918), xv, 49, 251n12, 268n29.
 - Internet Threat, 41, 53, 60–62, 70, 82, 156, 270; and content providers, 86, 121; and costless copying, 62–65; and DMCA, 86, 101; and fair use, 66; and the Farmers’ Tale, 86–87; and *Grokster* case, 80; and logic of control, 80–82; and *Napster* case, 71–72, 80–81; and *Reimerdes* case, 100, 103, 106; and Sony Axiom, 71; effect on creativity, 53, 197–198, 201; Web’s nonproprietary creativity as corrective to, 192.
 - Internet: and flourishing, but vulnerable mashup culture, 156–158; and role in sharing economy, 196–197; benefits and costs to rights holders, 62–63; changes assumptions about industry structure and copyright, 198; effect on intellectual property rights, 54–63; relevance of Jefferson Warning to, 55–56, 62–63.
 - iPod, 79, 110–114, 277n15; and *Grokster*’s standard, 79.
 - “I’ve Got a Savior” (*song*, Bailey Gospel Singers), 133–137, 142, 280, 281n11.
 - I’ve Got a Woman & Other Hits* (*album*, Ray Charles), 280.
 - Ives, Charles, 147, 155, 278.

- Jaffe, Adam, 2, 250n1, 285, 291.
- Jaszi, Peter, ix, 59, 254.
- Jefferson Warning, 21–23, 36, 38, 41, 156, 170, 178, 241, 294n2; and *Bridgeport Music* case, 149–150; and Ray Charles, 137–138; and content providers, 66; and DMCA, 89; and Internet Threat, 62; and music, 135–136, 137–138, 147, 148, 155; and privately constructed commons, 203–204; and *Reimerdes* case, 105; and Sony Axiom, 71; and *Sony* case, 73–74; frequently ignored by chief U.S. patent court, 169–171, *see also* Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit; lessons from application of intellectual property to computers and biology, 177–178; relevance to Internet, 62–63.
- Jefferson, Thomas: and relevance of his ideas to the Internet, 202–203; criticism of Jefferson's views as unrepresentative, 256n19; letter to Isaac McPherson, *frontispiece*, 17–20, 255n1, 256n3, 256nn6–7, 256nn9–13, 257n19; views on intellectual property, 17–23.
- Jenkins, Jennifer, vii, 28, 79, 277; *The Wind Done Gone* case, 28; iPod and *Grokster* case, 79.
- “Jesus Is All the World to Me” (song, Thompson), 127–128, 280, 280–281nn5–6, 282n14.
- “Jesus Is the Searchlight” (song, Bailey Gospel Singers), 133, 280.
- JibJab, 152–153, 156.
- Johansen, Jon, 89, 91–94, 99, 100, 108, 109, 114, 115, 117, 276n4, 277n13. *See also* *Corley* case; *see also* *Reimerdes* case.
- Johnson v. M'Intosh*, 21 U.S. 543 (1823), 56, 271n5.
- Johnson, Samuel, 24–25, 27, 38, 226.
- Kapczynski, Amy, 244–245, 293, 296n17.
- Kaplan, Lewis A., Judge, 93, 98–105, 106–109, 277n13; and DMCA, 106–109; and *Reimerdes* case, 93, 98–104; and court of appeals decision in *Reimerdes* case, 104–105.
- Kapor, Mitchell D., 161, 194, 285n1, 289n21.
- Keller, Daphne, ix.
- Kelley, Anthony, viii.
- Kelly v. Arriba Soft*, 336 F.3d 811 (2003), 52, 269n41.
- Kieff, F. Scott, 252, 283.
- Kim, Minjeong, 287.
- King, Lord, 261n40.
- Kitch, Edmund W., 67, 251, 273n19.
- Knowledge Ecology Studies*, 293–294.
- Kriss, Jesse, 279.
- KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*, 550 U.S. ___ (2007), 127 S.Ct. 1727 (2007), 170–171, 285n7.
- Ku, Raymond Shih Ray, 270.
- Kumar, Sapna, 175, 285n13.
- Landes, William M., 9, 48, 251, 253n7, 268n24, 271.
- Lange, David, vii, 45, 229, 266n10, 275, 294.
- Lardner, James, 270.
- Lashley, Robert, 135, 282n15.
- Lastowka, F. Gregory, 286.
- Late Registration (album, Kanye West)*, 138, 280.
- The Legendary K.O., 125–126, 142–144, 146, 151, 152–154, 156–157, 280.
- Leland, John, 144, 282n17.
- Lemley, Mark A., viii, 194, 270, 289n21.
- Lerner, Josh, xi, 250n1, 285, 291.
- Lessig, Lawrence, viii, ix, x, 67, 181, 182, 194, 273n21, 275, 278, 287, 290n24, 293; and copyright term extension, 225; and networks, 234; and openness, 192, 233.
- Letter to Isaac McPherson. *See* Jefferson, Thomas.
- Leval, Pierre N., 67, 273n20.
- Lexis, 210, 211.

- Lexmark* case (*Lexmark International, Inc. v. Static Control Components, Inc.*, 387 F.3d 522 (6th Cir. 2004)), 52, 114–116, 269n42, 277n16.
- Library of Congress, 9–15, 19, 238, 246, 247.
- license, compulsory, 71, 158.
- Lichtman, Douglas, 271.
- Liebowitz, Stan J., study on Napster and CD sales, 74, 273n27.
- Lincoln, Abraham, description of copyright, 94, 276n6.
- Litman, Jessica, viii, 51, 55, 56, 59, 82, 138, 194, 242, 268n24, 269n36, 270, 271n1, 272n6, 274, 290n24; 295n6.
- Locke, John, 29–30, 31–32, 36, 258n19, 261n40, 262n51, 265n6.
- Lockean justification for intellectual property. *See* intellectual property, Lockean justification for.
- van Loenen, Bastiaan, 292.
- Loewe v. Lowlor*, 208 U.S. 274 (1908), 56, 271n5.
- von Lohmann, Fred, x.
- Lopez, Franklin, 143–145, 152–153, 156, 280.
- Los Angeles Times v. Free Republic*, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5669, 54 U.S.P.Q.2D (C.D.Ca. 2000), 52, 269n39.
- Love, Jamie, ix, 243–244, 293, 295n13.
- Lunney, Glynn S., Jr., 271.
- Lydon, Michael, 127, 279, 280n5, 281n7.
- MacArthur Foundation, 244, 296n15.
- Macaulay Speech. *See* Macaulay, Thomas Babington.
- Macaulay, Thomas Babington, 23–27, 30, 33, 35, 36, 37, 38, 47, 118, 136, 171, 194, 206, 207, 209, 226, 231, 254–255, 256nn15–17, 260nn24–25, 260nn32–34, 263n53, 294n2; hostility to monopoly, 23–24, 26–27, 37, 207, 209, 254–255, 256n16; speeches to the House of Commons on copyright term extension, 23–25, 27; views on copyright, 23–27.
- Machlup, Fritz, 43, 252n3, 263n55.
- Madey v. Duke University*, 307 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2003), *cert. denied*, 539 U.S. 958 (2003), 52, 269n43; 284.
- Madison, James, 24, 257–258n19, 259nn21–22.
- Marion, J. C., 134, 282n13.
- Markel, Howard, 45, 266n13.
- mashup culture, 153; and compulsory licensing, 158.
- Matthew Bender & Co. v. West Publishing Co.*, 158 F.3d 674 (2d Cir. 1998). *See* *Hyperlaw* case.
- Maurer, Stephen M., 211, 291, 292nn2–3.
- maximalism, 198–200; difficulties in criticizing its negative effects, 236–239.
- May, Christopher, 45, 264, 266n10, 294.
- McCloskey, Donald N., 45, 264, 266n8.
- McGowan, David, 37, 263n54.
- McLeod, Kembrew, ix, 148, 278, 283n20.
- McPherson, Isaac, letter from Thomas Jefferson (McPherson Letter). *See* Jefferson, Thomas.
- Meconi, Honey, 278.
- Meetin' Tonight* (album, The Clara Ward Singers), 280.
- Mein Kampf*, copyright litigation over translation of, 95, 276n7.
- Mennecke, Thomas, 91–92, 276n4.
- merger doctrine, and musical composition. *See* copyright, merger doctrine and musical composition.
- Merges, Robert P., 52, 67, 251, 270n45, 273n19, 284–285.
- Metzer, David, 278.
- Meurer, Michael J., 285, 291.
- MGM Studios Inc. v. Grokster, Ltd.*, 545 U.S. 913 (2005). *See* *Grokster* case.
- Miller, Anthony O., 95, 276n7.
- Miller, Jim, 130, 281n9.
- Minitel, 80, 82, 233.
- MIT Registry of Standard Biological Parts, 173–174.
- Mitchell, Margaret, xii, 27–28, 30, 260n35.

- Moglen, Eben, 186, 189, 287, 288n2, 289n11.
- monopoly: and intellectual property, Madison's views, 24, 259n22; and intellectual property, Adam Smith's views, 24, 259n23; assumed different effect of, in copyright or patent, 70–71; Macaulay's hostility towards, *see* Macaulay, Thomas Babington.
- Moody, Glyn, 186, 286, 288n2.
- moral rights. *See* *droits d'auteur*.
- More, Thomas, 43–45, 264, 265n3.
- Mossoff, Adam, 19, 24, 254–255, 256n6, 256–258n19.
- Motion Picture Association of America. *See* MPAA.
- movie purchases, empirical study of effects of file sharing on. *See* file sharing, empirical study of effects on movie purchases.
- Mowery, David, 284.
- MPAA (Motion Picture Association of America), support for Creative Commons, 183.
- Murray, Fiona, 284.
- music: alternative methods of compensating artists, 271; copyright's treatment of, 122–124; effect of copyright law on, 278–279; popular, traditions within, 128–130; possible solutions to enable creativity, 158–160; role of borrowing, 126–159 *passim*. *See also* file sharing, empirical study of effects on music purchases.
- musical borrowing: 126–159 *passim*; and the law, 126, 128, 131–134, 135–138 140–143, 148–159, 277–279; changing conceptions of, 155–156; history of, 277–278.
- musical composition and sound recording copyrights distinguished. *See* copyright, musical composition and sound recording copyrights distinguished.
- musical recordings, sampling of, 146–151.
- Mutchler, Colin, 180.
- “My Life” (*song*, Mutchler) and “My Life Changed” (*song*, Bridges), 180.
- Myers, Mike, 124–125, 144, 145.
- N.W.A. *See* *Grand Upright case*.
- Napster case (A&M Records v. Napster*, 239 F.3d 1004 (9th Cir. 2001)), 64, 71–76, 78, 80, 273n24; relationship to *Sony case*, 72–74.
- Napster, 53, 58, 60, 77, 270–271; no exact movie equivalent to, 102. *See also* *Napster case*.
- NASA's “Clickworkers,” 193–194, 289n16.
- National Information Infrastructure, 54–55, 234, 265n6, 266n10, 271n3, 272n11.
- natural rights. *See* intellectual property, natural rights conception of.
- neighboring rights, 229.
- Nelson, Richard R., 264, 284, 291.
- Net neutrality, 234–235.
- Net. *See* Internet.
- Netanel, Neil Weinstock, viii, 37, 67, 95, 263n54, 271, 273n21, 275, 276n7.
- network effects, 68, 69, 86, 165, 166, 171, 191–192, 194; and impact on creativity, 191–192; social effect of networks, 57–58.
- networked computer, 51, 161–171, 234; and biotechnology as defining technology, 161.
- Newman, Jon, Judge, 104–105, 106–110 *passim*; and *Reimerdes case*, 104–105; and Judge Kaplan, 104–105.
- The New York Times*, 35–36, 125, 143, 248, 260n36, 261n50, 266n13, 272–273n18, 282n17, 290n24, 296n12; and “George Bush Doesn't Care About Black People,” 125, 143; and “public domain,” 248.
- Nichols, Peter M., 64, 272–273n18.

- Nickerson, Micah. *See* The Legendary K.O.
- Nimmer, David, viii, 274.
- Nimmer, Melville B., 67, 273n21, 275.
- No Electronic Theft Act, Pub. L. No. 105-147, 111 Stat. 2678 (1997), 61, 272n14.
- noncommercial file sharing, threat to music or film industry, 53, 60, 61.
- nonexcludable goods. *See* public goods.
- nonrivalrous goods. *See* public goods.
- Oberholzer-Gee, Felix, 74, 273nn26–27.
- Ochoa, Tyler T., viii, 19, 24, 254, 256n6, 258n20.
- Olson, Mancur, 59, 236, 272n12, 294n3.
- U.S. Olympic Committee, rights over the term ‘Olympic,’ xi–xii, 250n3.
- Online Computer Library Center, 9, 253n6.
- Onsrud, Harlan J., ix, 211, 291, 292, 292n2.
- Open Rights Group, 243, 295n9.
- open source genomics project, 193.
- open source software. *See* free and open source software.
- OpenCourseWare (MIT), 195.
- openness aversion. *See* cultural agoraphobia.
- Orbison, Roy, 151–152.
- O’Reilly, Tim, 9, 253n6.
- original expression, and copyright. *See* copyright, over original expression.
- originality requirement in copyright. *See* copyright, originality requirement in.
- orphan films. *See* orphan works.
- The Orphan Works Act of 2008, HR 5889 (2008), 253n8.
- orphan works, 9–11, 203, 224, 253n8; orphan films, 237, 253n8.
- Ostrom, Elinor, ix, 45, 264, 266n9.
- O’Sullivan, Gilbert, 146–148.
- Palisca, Claude V., 277, 278.
- Parisi, Francesco, 67, 273n19.
- parody, status in copyright. *See* fair use, and parody.
- patent: abolition of patent system in Netherlands, 37; criteria for, 163; disclosure as method of technological diffusion, 6, 252n3; history of criticism of, 37; limits of patentable subject matter, 168–171; no research exception for academic research in U.S. patent law, 52–53, 284, 285n2; nonobviousness as criterion for, 5–6, 113, 114, 164, 170, 250; over business methods, 22, 41, 46, 49, 52, 68, 168–170, 175, 177, 200, 237, 247–248, 269n31; over novel molecular constructs that act as various logic elements (#6,774,222), 175; over sealed crustless sandwich (#6,004,596), xi, 249n1; over software, 161, 162, 163–166, 171, 175–176, 194, 200, 201, 237, 244–245; PHOSITA (person having ordinary skill in the art), 163, 250n1; promise of, 5; quality of, xi–xii, 249n1.
- U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 55–57, 164, 168, 170, 250n1. *See also* Intellectual Property and the National Information Infrastructure.
- peer-to-peer (P2P) file sharing: and copyright, 71–80; characteristics of, 78–79; technology behind Napster and Grokster, 78.
- peer-to-peer cultural production, 194, 289n17.
- Pegoraro, Rob, 110, 277n15.
- Peitz, M., 74, 273n29.
- Penrose, Edith, 43, 252n3, 263n55.
- Pickler, Randal, 37, 263n54.
- Pigou, Arthur C., 239, 242, 295n4.
- Polanyi, Karl, 44, 264, 265n4.
- Pollack, Malla, 254.
- Pollock, Rufus, 74, 273n29.
- Popper, Karl, 193, 289n14.
- Posner, Richard A., Judge, 9, 46, 48, 251, 253n7, 267n17, 268n24.

- Powell, H. Jefferson, viii, 275.
- “Pretty Woman” (*song*, Orbison), 151–152.
- privately constructed commons as
 method of innovation. *See* commons,
 privately constructed commons as
 method of innovation.
- property: danger of conflating intellectual
 and real property, 20–24, 232; opposite
 of, xiv–xv; strong social understanding
 of, xiv. *See also* Jefferson Warning.
- public domain: and failure to understand
 role of opposites of property, xiv–xv,
 38–40; as default rule before abolition
 of copyright formalities, 184; balance
 with realm of property, xv, 48–49, 141;
 constructed commons as alternative
 to, 183–184, 203–204; definitions of,
 xiv–xv, 38–39; distinguished from
 commons, 39; economic benefits of, in
 weather data, 221–222; environmental
 movement needed to preserve, xv,
 236–248; erosion of, 88, 104, 118–119,
 169–171, 176, 178, 240–241, 243–245,
 268n13, 269n33, 293–294; genres sup-
 posed to be part of, 123, 143, 154;
 David Lange’s seminal work on, 294;
 limits on patentable subject matter,
 168–171; need to leave material for fu-
 ture creators, 137–138; role and value
 of, 39–41; role in debates over *droits
 d’auteur* in France, 34.
- Public Enemy. *See* *Grand Upright* case.
- public goods: definition of, 2–4; eco-
 nomic model of, 3–4, 185; no justifica-
 tion for intellectual property rights in
 area of business methods, 169; nonrival
 and nonexcludable, 2–4, 39, 47, 48,
 49, 60, 187, 215, 264; problem as com-
 mon thread linking different areas of
 intellectual property, 5–8; reality di-
 verging from model of pure public
 goods, 4–5; software as an example of
 public goods rationale, 162–163.
- Public Knowledge, 243, 295n9.
- public sector information. *See* publicly
 generated data.
- publicly generated data: as case-study of
 empirical effects of openness 220–222,
 292; European Union directive on,
 222, 292, 293n9; varying legal restric-
 tions over, 220–222.
- publishing, regulation of, 30–31.
- Purdy, Jed, viii.
- Racine, Laurie, ix, x.
- Radin, Margaret Jane, viii, 46, 267n17.
- Rai, Arti K., vii, x, 161, 174, 175, 249n2,
 285n3, 285n13.
- Randall, Alice, 27, 95. *See also* *SunTrust
 Bank*.
- Randle, Damien. *See* The Legendary
 K.O.
- Rapoza, Jim, 186–187, 288n6.
- Raymond, Eric S., 286.
- RealNetworks, and controversy with Ap-
 ple Computer, 110–114.
- Recording Industry Association of Amer-
 ica. *See* RIAA.
- Reed Elsevier, 210–211.
- Reed, D. P., 81, 274n31.
- Reese, R. Anthony, 270.
- Registry of Standard Biological Parts,
 MIT. *See* MIT Registry of Standard
 Biological Parts.
- Reichman, Jerome, vii, 4, 161, 194, 274,
 284, 285n1, 287, 289n21, 290n24, 290,
 291.
- Reimerdes* case (*Universal City Studios v.
 Reimerdes et al.*, 111 F. Supp. 2d 294
 (S.D.N.Y. 2000)), 92–110 passim, 121,
 276nn9–12, 277n13; and legitimate
 functions of security research, 93–94;
 and *Skylink* case, 116–117.
- Reno v. ACLU*, 521 U.S. 844 (1997), and
 Communications Decency Act, 57–58,
 272n8.
- research and development treaty pro-
 posal, 244, 295n13.

- Ress, Manon, x.
- Rhee, Christina, xiii, 251n9.
- RIAA (Recording Industry Association of America): and letter threatening litigation sent to cryptography researcher, 270n44; and role in politics of intellectual property 58, 86, 157.
- Richard, Renald, 127, 137, 280n5, 281n6.
- Richardson, Samuel, 26–27, 156.
- Rinaudo, Keller, 175, 285n12.
- Ringer, Barbara, 9, 253n7.
- Ritz, David, 127, 279, 280n2, 281n6.
- Rob, Rafael, 74, 102, 273n28, 276n12.
- romantic theory of authorship. *See* authorship, romantic theory of.
- Rose, Carol, viii, ix, 192, 264.
- Rose, Mark, viii, 19, 24, 254, 256n6, 258n20.
- Rose, Toni, 279.
- Rosen, Hillary, 183.
- Royal Society for the Encouragement of Arts, Manufactures and Commerce (RSEAMC), Adelphi Charter. *See* Access to Knowledge movement, Adelphi Charter.
- Rubinfeld, Jed, 27, 260n36, 275.
- Ruckelshaus, William, 240, 295n5.
- Retting, Randy, ix.
- Saltzer, J. H., 81, 274n31.
- Saltzman, Eric, ix.
- Salzman, Jim, viii.
- Sampat, Bhaven, 284.
- sampling: effects of legal regulation on music, 146–148; role in rap and hip-hop, 146–148. *See also* *Bridgeport Music* case; *see also* *Grand Upright* case.
- Samuelson, Pamela, viii, 59, 161, 194, 243, 266n10, 268n24, 270, 274, 285n1, 289n21, 290, 290n24.
- San Francisco Arts & Athletics, Inc., et al. v. United States Olympic Committee*, 483 U.S. 522 (1987). *See* U.S. Olympic Committee, rights over the term ‘Olympic.’
- scènes à faire*. *See* copyright, *scènes à faire*.
- Scherer, Frederic, 277.
- Schroeder, Chris, viii.
- Schultz, Jason, x.
- Science Commons. *See* Creative Commons.
- Scotchmer, Suzanne, 48, 268n24, 284–285.
- Scottish Enlightenment, 24, 38, 177, 178, 202, 236, 257–258n19, 294n2.
- sealed crustless sandwich (U.S. Patent No. 6,004,596), xi, 249n1.
- second enclosure movement, 41, 42–53, 192, 205, 248, 286.
- Section 105, U.S. Copyright Act. *See* Copyright Act.
- Section 107, U.S. Copyright Act. *See* Copyright Act.
- Section 1201, U.S. Copyright Act. *See* Copyright Act; *see* DMCA.
- Sega Enterprises Ltd. v. Accolade, Inc.*, 977 F.2d 1510 (9th Cir. 1992), 69, 273n22.
- Seville, Catherine, 37, 254, 263n52.
- sharing economy, 195–204; and distributed creativity, *see* distributed creativity; and implications for intellectual property policy, 195–198, 200–204; and role of Internet in, 196–197; limits of, 201.
- Shavell, Steven, 37, 260n30, 263n56.
- The Shawn Bentley Orphan Works Act of 2008, S 2913, 253n8.
- Shirky, Clay, 185, 187, 189, 202, 286, 288n1.
- shrinkwrap licenses, 194.
- Siegel, Neil, viii.
- skeptical minimalism. *See* intellectual property, minimalist view of.
- Skylink* case (*Chamberlain Group, Inc. v. Skylink Tech., Inc.*, 381 F.3d 1178 (Fed. Cir. 2004)), 115–117, 120, 277n17.
- Smith, Adam, 24, 186, 207, 259n23.

- Software Publishers Association (SPA), 58.
- software: concerns about application of copyright to, 164–166; contrast between courts’ reactions to danger of eroding public domain in copyright versus patent, 170–171; effects of copyright on competition in software industry, 114–117; nonrival nature of, 3–4, 187; patentability of, 168–169; subject to both copyright and patent, 162–171.
- Sohn, Gigi, x.
- Sonny Bono Copyright Term Extension Act, Pub. L. No. 105-298, 112 Stat. 2827 (1998), 25–26, 61, 243, 260n29, 272n15.
- Sony Axiom, 71; and copyright’s effect on music, 137–138; relationship between cheaper copying and copyright limitation, 71; relevance to DMCA, 107; relevance to *Napster* and *Grokster* cases, 78–80.
- Sony* case (*Sony Corp. of America v. Universal City Studios, Inc.*, 464 U.S. 417 (1984)), 63–71, 72–73, 80, 81, 82, 198, 199, 270–271, 272nn17–18, 273nn19–23; and 20/20 downside vision, 64; and contributory infringement, 70–71; and fair use, 65–69; and *Napster* case, 72–76; and *Reimerdes* case, 102–103, 109; and time-shifting, 64, 65, 71.
- soul music, 128–130; Ray Charles and stylistic evolution of, 130–132, 135; substitution of erotic passion for religious passion, 135.
- source code, 97, 167–168, 186, 288n3.
- space-shifting. *See* fair use, space-shifting as.
- Stallman, Richard, ix, 94, 186, 194, 287.
- state generated data. *See* publicly generated data.
- State St. Bank & Trust Co. v. Signature Financial Group, Inc.*, 149 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 1998), 49, 170, 269n31, 285n6.
- Static Control Components. *See Lexmark* case.
- Statute of Anne, 8, 30, 61.
- Statute of Monopolies of 1623, 24, 258nn19–20.
- Stephens, Robert W., 127, 134–135, 280n3, 281n6, 282n14.
- Sterling, Bruce, 13–14, 253n9.
- Stern, Scott, 284.
- Stiglitz, Joseph E., 40, 46–47, 48, 263n59, 267n19, 268n25.
- Strumpf, K Coleman, 73–74, 273nn26–27.
- substantial similarity. *See* copyright, substantial similarity.
- Sulston, John, Sir, ix.
- Sultan, Frédéric, 244, 296n15.
- Sunstein, Cass R., 286.
- SunTrust Bank v. Houghton Mifflin Co.*: District Court case, 136 F. Supp. 2d 1357 (N.D.Ga. 2001), 27, 260n36; Court of Appeals case, 268 F.3d 1257 (11th Cir. 2001), xii, 28, 250n4, 260n38.
- synthetic biology: 171–177; as engineering discipline, with standardized parts, 172–174; attempts to create an “open source” commons of biological parts, 176–177; concerns about effects of intellectual property on, 174–176; copyrightability of, 176–177; moral qualms about, 174.
- technology: as purported solution to legal overregulation of culture, 156–158; focus only on negative and not positive effects of new technologies on content industry, 63–65.
- term extension. *See* copyright term.
- “This Land” (*song*, Guthrie), 152–153.
- “This Little Girl of Mine” (*song*, Charles), 134–135, 156, 280, 282n14.
- “This Little Light of Mine” (*song*, Ward), 134–135, 280, 282n14.
- Thompson, E. P., 265n4.

- Thompson, Will Lamartine, 127–128, 131, 138, 153, 156, 279, 280. *See also* “Jesus Is All the World to Me.”
- Thomson Publishing, 210–211. *See also* Westlaw.
- time-shifting. *See* fair use, time-shifting as.
- Title 17, U.S. Code. *See* Copyright Act.
- trademark, 6–8, 253–254; and boundaries of legitimate competition, 120; and iPod, 113–114; and public domain, 113; anti-dilution, 46; as natural or moral right, 28; “homestead law for language,” 6; promise of, 7; rationale for, 6–7; utilitarian interpretation predominates, 28.
- tragedy of the commons. *See* commons, tragedy of the.
- Travis, Hannibal, 44, 45, 265n6, 266n10.
- trespass, to websites by electronic aggregators, 52.
- Trevelyan, George Otto, 256n14.
- Tropical Disease Initiative, 201.
- Trosow, Samuel, xii, 250n5.
- trusted computing, 234.
- Turing machine, 162, 168–169, 171; and synthetic biology, 175.
- Turing, Alan, 162.
- Turner Broadcasting System, Inc. v. FCC*, 512 U.S. 622 (1997), 99, 276n10.
- Turner, Michael, 45, 265n7, 266n8.
- Tushnet, Rebecca, 242, 295n6.
- U.S. v. O’Brien*, 391 U.S. 367 (1968), 276n10.
- Ubuntu. *See* free and open source software.
- UCITA, and validation of shrinkwrap licenses, 194, 289n22.
- UCLA Intellectual Property Project. *See* Copyright Infringement Project.
- Uhlir, Paul, ix, 194, 284, 287, 290n24, 291.
- Uniform Computer Information Transactions Act (UCITA). *See* UCITA.
- Universal City Studios v. Reimerdes et al.*, 111 F. Supp. 2d 294 (S.D.N.Y. 2000). *See* *Reimerdes* case.
- Universal City Studios, Inc. v. Corley*, 273 F.3d 429 (2d Cir. 2001). *See* *Corley* case.
- University of Cambridge Centre for Intellectual Property and Information Law, economic study of copyright term extension, 225–226, 292, 293n11. *See also* Gowers Review.
- Vaidhyanathan, Siva, ix, 148, 278, 283n20.
- Valenti, Jack, support for Creative Commons, 183.
- Van Houweling, Molly Shaffer, 243, 295n8.
- Van Ypersele, Tanguy, 37, 263n56.
- Varmus, Harold, ix.
- VCR. *See* videotape recorders.
- VCRs, effects on movie companies. *See* *Sony* case.
- videotape recorders (VCRs), 63–64, 71, 270, 272n18; analogy to technology in *Grokster* case, 76; analogy to technology in *Napster* case, 73–74; and copyright, 51, 63–64, 78; and DMCA, 96; threat posed by new technology, 63, 65. *See also* *Sony* case.
- Vincent, Ricky, 281n6.
- Von Hippel, Eric, 286.
- Voorhoof, Dirk, 292.
- Waelbroeck, P., 74, 273n29.
- Waldfoegel, Joel, 74, 102, 273n28, 276n12.
- Waldron, Jeremy, 36, 262n51.
- Wales, Jimmy, ix.
- Wall, Larry, 185.
- Walsh, John P., 161, 252, 283, 284, 285n2, 291.
- Walterscheid, Edward C., 24, 254, 258n20.
- Wang, Wallace, 164, 285n4.

- Ward, Clara, and The Ward Singers, 134–135, 140, 146, 153, 279, 280, 282n15; objections to Ray Charles’s borrowing, 134, 136, 155.
- Ward-Royster, Willa, 279.
- The Washington Post*, 58, 97, 110, 125, 248, 277n15, 280n1, 296n23.
- Washington Times*, 59, 272nn9–10.
- “Washington consensus,” 47, 267n19.
- Wayner, Peter, 288nn2–3.
- weather data, contrasting U.S. and E.U. approaches to property rights over, 221–222.
- Web (also called the World Wide Web), 232–234, 246; and copyright, 181–182; and Jefferson’s views on intellectual property, 202–203; and proprietary database rights, 212, 221; and the sharing economy, 195–198, 201; and the *Sony* case, 70; reading a Web page equivalent to copying, xiii, 52; would we invent it today? 81–82, 231–235. *See also* Internet.
- Weber, Steve, 287.
- Weinstock, Jordi, viii, 133.
- Weiss, Peter, 221–222, 292.
- West, Kanye, 124–126, 132, 138–140, 142–146, 152–157, 280. *See also* “Gold Digger.”
- Westlaw, 210; and *Matthew Bender & Co. v. West Publishing Co.*, 158 F.3d 674 (2d Cir. 1998), 213–216, 292n4.
- White Paper. *See* Intellectual Property and the National Information Infrastructure.
- Wiener, Jonathan, viii.
- Wilbanks, John, ix.
- Wilkie, Tom, xiii, 250–251n8.
- The Wind Done Gone* (Randall), 27. *See also* *SunTrust Bank*.
- World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), Development Agenda. *See* Access to Knowledge movement, WIPO Development Agenda.
- Woodmansee, Martha, viii, 254.
- work for hire, 11, 131.
- WorldCat catalogue, 9, 253n6.
- “xkcd,” a webcomic of romance, sarcasm, math, and language, 245; “Content Protection,” 245, 296n20; “Copyright,” 245, 296n20; “Digital Rights Management,” 245, 296n20.
- Yelling, J. A., 43, 263–264, 265n2.
- Young, Bob, x.
- Ziedonis, Arvids, 284.
- Zittrain, Jonathan, 234, 294n1.